Niobium and vanadium iminophosphinimide complexes†

Justin K. Brask, Michael G. Fickes, Preeyanuch Sangtrirutnugul, Víctor Durà-Vilà, Aaron L. Odom and Christopher C. Cummins*

Department of Chemistry, Room 2-227, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139-4307, USA. E-mail: ccummins@mit.edu

Received (in Purdue, IN, USA) 25th June 2001, Accepted 18th July 2001 First published as an Advance Article on the web 16th August 2001

The iminophosphinimide complexes $[Ar(R)N]_3M(NPNBu^t)$ **(M = V, Nb) were prepared from the corresponding anionic** nitride species $\{ [Ar(R)N]_3M \equiv NNa \}$ by way of a four-step **synthetic strategy.**

Unusually stable Group 5 arylazide complexes were recently isolated and their reactivity probed, *e.g.* $\dot{C}_{p_2}Ta(Me)N_3Ph^1$ and $[Ar_F(Bu^t)N]_2V(I)N_3Mes$ ($Ar_F = 2-F-5-MeC_6H_3$).² Upon heating, these species gradually lose N_2 to form the corresponding arylimido complexes.1,2 An iminophosphinimide ligand resembles the organoazide functionality, with substitution of phosphorus in the β -position. Although several main group compounds with an iminophosphinimide framework have been reported, *e.g.* [Li][Mes*NPNMes*]³ and [Bu^tNP(µ-NBu^t)₂PN-Bu^t]Ga(Bu^tNPNBu^t),⁴ this ligand-type has not been supported on a transition-metal platform, *i.e.* $L_nM(N-P=NR)^T(R =$ organic group). Of particular interest is the possibility of $N \equiv P$ extrusion as a consequence of organoimide formation. Herein we report characterization of the Group 5 iminophosphinimide derivatives $[Ar(R)N]_3M(NPNBu^t)$ $[Ar = 3,5-Me_2C_6H_3; M =$ Nb, $R = C(CD_3)_2CH_3$; $M = V$, $R = Bu^t$], as constructed in several steps from the corresponding anionic nitride complexes (Scheme 1).

In a demonstration of the versatility and controlled reactivity of the nitride dimer {[Ar(R)N]3NbNNa}2 (**1a**), reported previously by us in this journal,⁵ the addition of PCl_3 to a THF solution of **1a** gave the pale yellow dichlorophosphine complex $[Ar(R)N]_3Nb(NPCl_2)$ (2a) in 86% isolated yield. The ³¹P NMR spectrum for **2a** consists of a broad resonance at 158 ppm $(\Delta v_{1/2})$ = 160 Hz).‡ It is important to note that although **2a** was obtained exclusively upon addition of ≥ 1 equiv. PCl₃, a second substitution reaction of the niobium nitride on phosphorus occurred cleanly when **1a** was added to **2a**.

Employing the synthon **2a**, design of a primary aminochlorophosphinimide functionality, *i.e.* –NP(Cl)(NHBut), was pursued, since dehydrohalogenation of said species would deliver the desired iminophosphinimide ligand. The addition of 1 equiv. But NH2 (10% solution in NEt3) to **2a**, however, did not result in the formation of $[Ar(R)N]_3Nb[NP(Cl)(NHBu^t)]$ (4a), but rather a 1+1 mixture of [Ar(R)N]3Nb[NP(NHBut)2] (**3a**) and unreacted **2a**. The yield of yellow di-*tert*-butylaminophosphinimide **3a**, for which the 31P NMR spectrum contains a singlet at 101 ppm ($\Delta v_{1/2}$ = 85 Hz), was optimized (92%) upon addition of excess (ca. 4.4 equiv.) Bu^tNH₂/NEt₃ to **2a**. In an alternative approach, **4a** was generated by treating **3a** with a large excess of Me₃SiCl. As a caveat, however, the selective substitution of one *tert*-butylamino group on **3a** by chloride, as monitored by 31P NMR spectroscopy, has characteristics of an equilibrium. The ratio of 3a:4a decreased asymptotically when the number of silane equivalents was increased, but partial regeneration of **3a** occurred upon removal of all volatile materials *in vacuo*. This behavior is presumably due to the presence of the by-product Me3Si(But)NH, which likely drives the equilibrium back towards **3a**. Treatment of **3a** with Me₃SiCl in three stages, between which all volatiles were removed *in vacuo*, conquered this dilemma and yielded **4a** as a yellow microcrystalline solid (73%). The 31P NMR spectrum for **4a** consists of a single resonance at 146 ppm $(\Delta v_{1/2} = 101 \text{ Hz})$ and the ¹H NMR spectrum exhibits a doublet for the *tert*-butylamino protons, due to 1.5 Hz coupling with the 31P center.

Dehydrohalogenation of **4a** was indeed achieved by treatment with 1 equiv. LiN(SiMe₃)₂ in pentane, yielding the *tert*butyliminophosphinimide complex [Ar(R)N]₃Nb(NPNBu^t) (**5a**) as bright yellow microcrystals in 66% yield. Interestingly, **5a** exhibits a 1:1:1 triplet centered at 318 ppm $(1J_{14N-31P} = 61$ Hz) in its 31P NMR spectrum, due to coupling with one of the adjacent nitrogen atoms.‡ As this phenomenon was not observed for compounds **2a**–**4a**, it is speculated that the imino nitrogen experiences a more symmetric electric field gradient than its imido counterpart and is therefore the more likely source of 14N–31P coupling.6 During recrystallization of **5a** from pentane it was observed that an orange solid started to form, with complete conversion in 24 h at 23 °C. A singlet at 240 ppm ($\Delta v_{1/2}$ = 72 Hz) in the ³¹P NMR spectrum was recorded for this new orange species.‡ X-Ray diffraction analysis revealed the complex $[Ar(R)N]_3NbNP(\mu NBu^t$ ₂PNNb[N(R)Ar]₃ (6), the product of a [2 + 2] cycloaddition reaction involving two molecules of **5a** (Fig. 1).§ The two halves of dimeric **6** are related by a center of inversion, with a planar P2N2 core containing pyramidal phosphorus centers and nearly planar nitrogen centers. Selected bond distances and angles are provided in the caption to Fig. 1.

Main group iminophosphinimide compounds tend to form oligomers when lacking sufficiently bulky substituents.4*a* In the case of $5a$, the $[Ar(R)N]_3Nb$ platform apparently does not provide enough steric protection to totally encumber dimerization. It was anticipated that the vanadium analogue of **5a**, with an expected shorter metal–imide bond, would be less susceptible to oligomerization. In order to employ the same synthetic procedure as used for **5a**, however, we set out first to prepare the vanadium nitride complex {[Ar(But)N]3VNNa}*ⁿ* (**1b**). Two related anionic vanadium(V) nitride species, {[(Me3- $SiNCH_2CH_2$)₃N]VNLi}_n⁷ and (Ph₂N)₃VNLi(THF)₃,⁸ were previously produced *via* deprotection or deprotonation strategies,

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Synthetic, spectroscopic, analytical, and theoretical data for all new complexes. See http:// www.rsc.org/suppdata/cc/b1/b105584b/

respectively, involving neutral imide precursors. Another approach involved the reductive cleavage of dinitrogen.9 In the present case, treatment of the readily available vanadium (m) trisanilide $[Ar(Bu^t)N]_3V^{10}$ with NaN_3 in THF, and subsequent dissolution in pentane followed by drying *in vacuo*, yielded bright yellow **1b** in 88% yield. By analogy with **1a**, unsolvated **1b** is thought to be dimeric $(n = 2)$ in the solid state, with intramolecular solvation of the Na+ ions provided by the aryl substituents of the $-N(Bu^t)Ar$ groups.⁵

The vanadium iminophosphinimide $[Ar(R)N]_3V(NPNBu^t)$ (**5b**) was indeed successfully generated from **1b** by the methodology illustrated in Scheme 1, with yields for complexes **2b**–**4b** similar to those obtained for their niobium counterparts. The 31P NMR chemical shift values for these intermediates are also similar to those recorded for **2a**–**4a** (*vide supra*), but the resonances are considerably broader, likely due to unresolved long-range 51V coupling.‡ Complex **5b** was isolated from diethyl ether as red crystals in 56% yield. The 31P and 51V NMR spectra for $5b$ in D₆-benzene both consist of single broad resonances at 341 ($\Delta v_{1/2}$ = 332 Hz) and -151 ($\Delta v_{1/2}$ = 123 Hz) ppm, respectively.‡ In contrast to **5a**, coupling with a 14N nucleus was not resolved in the 31P NMR spectrum. An X-ray structure determination confirmed the monomeric nature of **5b**, but is not of publishable quality due to severe disorder in the –N(But)Ar groups.§ Significantly, dimerization of **5b** to form the vanadium analogue of **6** was not observed, even upon heating to 60 °C in hexane for a period of 18 h.‡ Shortening of the V–Nimide bond in **5b**, as compared with **5a**, presumably draws the iminophosphinimide functionality deeper into the sterically protective pocket formed by the three anilide ligands at the metal center,¹¹ hindering P_2N_2 ring formation.

Fig. 1 Molecular structure of $[Ar(R)N]_3NbNP(\mu-NBu^t)_2PNNb[N(R)Ar]_3$ 6 (35% probability ellipsoids). Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity. Selected bond distances (Å) and bond angles (°): Nb–N(1) 2.005(8), Nb– N(2) 2.050(8), Nb–N(3) 2.037(7), Nb–N(4) 1.790(7), P–N 1.734(7), P–N' 1.727(8); Nb–N(4)–P 167.0(5), N(4)–P–N 108.7(4), N(4)–P–N' 105.1(4), $N-P-N'$ 79.9(4), $P-N-P'$ 100.1(4).

In summary, two examples of a transition-metal supported iminophosphinimide ligand have been prepared by way of a dehydrohalogenation strategy. In the case of **5a**, dimerization occurs *via* cycloaddition of this moiety while a monomer is retained for **5b**, making possible an exploration of the reactivity of the novel M(NPNR) functionality. It is noteworthy that $N \equiv P$ extrusion to give the corresponding imides was not observed for either complex, illustrating the robust nature of this ligand.

For financial support we gratefully acknowledge the National Science Foundation (CAREER Award CHE-9988806), the Alfred P. Sloan Foundation, the National Science Board (1998 Alan T. Waterman award to C. C. C.), and the David and Lucile Packard Foundations. J. K. B. thanks NSERC (Canada) for a post-doctoral fellowship.

Notes and references

‡ 31P NMR chemical shift values were calculated (DFT) for models of the complexes **2a**, **5a**, **6**, and **2b**–**5b**. Remarkably good agreement between the experimental and theoretical values lends further support to the structural assignments of these species. Additionally, the chemical shift calculated for **5b** compared with that derived for the hypothetical product of dimerization of **5b**, *i.e.* the vanadium analogue of **6**, substantiates designation of this complex as a monomer. See ESI for details.†

§ *Crystal data*: **6**: C₄₀H₆₃N₅NbP, $M = 737.83$, triclinic, space group $P\overline{1}$, $a = 10.584(7)$, $b = 12.626(10)$, $c = 17.968(13)$ Å, $\alpha = 116.92(4)$, $\beta =$ 90.52(6), $\gamma = 114.37(4)$ °, $V = 2069(3)$ \AA ³, $T = 183(2)$ K, $Z = 2$, μ (Mo-K_α) $= 0.361$ mm⁻¹, $D_c = 1.184$ g cm⁻³, 6162 reflections measured, 3802 unique ($R_{\text{int}} = 0.0675$), 3490 observed [$I > 2\sigma(I)$]. The final R_1 and $wR_2(F^2)$ were 0.0736 [$I > 2\sigma(I)$] and 0.1678 (all data), respectively.

5b: $a = 13.7960(2)$, $b = 15.0337(3)$, $c = 20.0772(4)$ \mathring{A} , $\alpha = \beta = \gamma =$ 90°, $V = 4164.1 \text{ Å}^3$, $Z = 4$.

CCDC reference number 167617. See http://www.rsc.org/suppdata/cc/ b1/b105584b/ for crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format.

- 1 G. Proulx and R. G. Bergman, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1995, **117**, 6382.
- 2 M. G. Fickes, W. M. Davis and C. C. Cummins, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1995, **117**, 6384.
- 3 (*a*) J. K. Brask, T. Chivers, M. L. Krahn and M. Parvez, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1999, **38**, 290; (*b*) P. B. Hitchcock, H. A. Jasim, M. F. Lappert and H. D. Williams, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, 1986, 1634.
- 4 (*a*) L. Stahl, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2000, **210**, 203; (*b*) I. Schranz, D. F. Moser, L. Stahl and R. J. Staples, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1999, **38**, 5814.
- 5 M. G. Fickes, A. L. Odom and C. C. Cummins, *Chem. Commun.*, 1997, 1993.
- 6 J. G. Verkade and L. D. Quin, *Phosphorus-31 NMR Spectroscopy in Stereochemical Analysis*, VCH Publishers, Inc., Deerfield Beach, FL, vol. 8, 1987.
- 7 C. C. Cummins, R. R. Schrock and W. M. Davis, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1994, **33**, 1448.
- 8 J.-I. Song and S. Gambarotta, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 1996, **2**, 1258.
- 9 G. K. B. Clentsmith, V. M. E. Bates, P. B. Hitchcock and F. G. N. Cloke, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1999, **121**, 10 444.
- 10 M. G. Fickes, Ph.D. Thesis, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, MA, 1998.
- 11 C. C. Cummins, *Chem. Commun.*, 1998, 1777.